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Why evaluate?

- Managing for Results
  - To what extent GNSSN contributes to enhancing the Member States Safety Infrastructure?

- Objectives:
  - Promoting successes and good practices
  - More resources (Technical and Financial)

- Outcomes are measured by the regional Networks
  - The evaluation is a responsibility of the Member States
Consideration for outcome Monitoring

- Outcome indicators need to have information on: baseline, target and timeline
  - Baseline: the value of the indicator before the project starts
  - Target: the level (magnitude) of result / change to be obtained within a specific timeframe

- Need to develop and implement a monitoring process
GNSSN Evaluation Framework

Does the “Network” manage its operations effectively and efficiently?

What is the national capacity building programme contribution?

What development progress is the National Nuclear safety infrastructure making?

What development progress is the regional nuclear safety infrastructure making?
Roles and Responsibilities

- Monitoring and evaluation should be a collective effort
- GNSSN coordinators to ensure effective implementation of the performance framework
- TWG Coordinators and members of each network to organize data/information gathering and analysis
- Partners to participate in meetings to discuss findings and results
Performance Indicators

- The strategic approach contains a Matrix of objectives and Performance Indicators
- PIs serve as milestones along the way to reaching the GNSSN strategic goals
- Composite indicators reflective of the convergence of all programmes efforts towards the achievement of the GNSSN ultimate goals
How to evaluate: Self-assessment?
Challenge:

- Different Stakeholders
- Different need
- Different engagement
Score Card?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1: are the Network projects making an impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upgrading Legislative and Regulatory Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Framework for Licensing of NPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrading of Nuclear Safety in Research Reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrading of Security of RS and Waste Management Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Planning and Response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 2: National regulatory Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-assessment results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 3: are Network project managed effectively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic of the members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 4: Is the Network an efficient organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future Plan

- the development of a methodology to evaluate the effectiveness of Global and Regional Networks
- Draft version of Evaluation Methodology (December 2015)
- Final draft for SC (May 2016)
- Evaluation Report September 2016
Thank you…